

## C Derivation of $q_\alpha$ , $r_\alpha$ , and $s_\alpha$

**Derivation of  $q_\alpha$  and  $r_\alpha$ .** Let  $T^u = q(1 - f_\alpha)\bar{v} - qp \log q$  and  $T^o = q(f_\alpha\bar{v} - p)$  denote the two terms in the definition of  $r_\alpha$ :

$$r_\alpha = \max_{(q,p) \in [0,1] \times [0, f_\alpha\bar{v}]} \min \{q(1 - f_\alpha)\bar{v} - qp \log q, q(f_\alpha\bar{v} - p)\}$$

It is readily verified that  $T^u$  increases in price  $p$  whereas  $T^o$  decreases in  $p$ . Moreover,  $T^u \leq T^o$  when  $p = 0$  and  $T^u \geq T^o$  when  $p = f_\alpha\bar{v}$ . Hence, for any fixed  $q$ ,  $\min\{T^u, T^o\}$  is achieved by the value of  $p$  which satisfies  $T^u = T^o$ , that is:

$$p = \frac{\alpha f_\alpha \bar{v}}{1 - \log q}.$$

Substituting this value of  $p$  into  $T^u$  and  $T^o$ , we have

$$T^u = T^o = q f_\alpha \bar{v} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{1 - \log q}\right). \quad (17)$$

This term (17) is concave in  $q$  and increases in  $q$  at  $q = 0$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha \leq 1/2$ , this term (17) increases in  $q$  also at  $q = 1$ . In this case, the maximum is achieved at  $q = 1$  so:

$$q_\alpha = 1, \text{ and } r_\alpha = \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} \bar{v} = (1 - f_\alpha)\bar{v}.$$

If  $\alpha > 1/2$ , the term (17) decreases in  $q$  at  $q = 1$  so the maximum is achieved at an interior  $q$ . In this case,  $q_\alpha$  is given by setting the derivative of (17) with respect to  $q$  to zero, so:

$$q_\alpha = e^{1 - \frac{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha(\alpha+4)}}{2}}, \text{ and } r_\alpha = \frac{\left(2 + \alpha - \sqrt{\alpha(\alpha+4)}\right) e^{1 - \frac{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha(\alpha+4)}}{2}}}{2(2 - \alpha)} \bar{v}.$$

**Derivation of  $s_\alpha$ .** The value of  $s_\alpha$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha &= (\sup\{q(f_\alpha\bar{v} - p) : q(1 - f_\alpha)\bar{v} - qp \log q > r_\alpha, (q, p) \in [0, 1] \times [0, f_\alpha\bar{v}]\})^+ \\ &= (\sup\{T^o : T^u > r_\alpha, (q, p) \in [0, 1] \times [0, f_\alpha\bar{v}]\})^+. \end{aligned}$$

We first explain that the value of  $s_\alpha$  is at most  $r_\alpha$ . Given the definition of  $r_\alpha$ ,  $\min\{T^u, T^o\} \leq r_\alpha$  for any  $(q, p) \in [0, 1] \times [0, f_\alpha\bar{v}]$ . Hence, for any  $(q, p) \in [0, 1] \times [0, f_\alpha\bar{v}]$  such that  $T^o > r_\alpha$ , it holds that  $T^u \leq r_\alpha$ . The value of  $s_\alpha$  is the supremum of such  $T^u$ ,

so it is at most  $r_\alpha$ .

We next argue that for  $\alpha > 1/2$ , the value of  $s_\alpha$  equals  $r_\alpha$ . Consider the quantity-price pair  $\left(q_\alpha, \frac{\alpha f_\alpha \bar{v}}{1 - \log q_\alpha} + \varepsilon\right)$ , which is in  $[0, 1] \times [0, f_\alpha \bar{v}]$  for small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The value of  $T^u$  under this pair is strictly above  $r_\alpha$ , because (i)  $T^u$  equals  $r_\alpha$  under the pair  $\left(q_\alpha, \frac{\alpha f_\alpha \bar{v}}{1 - \log q_\alpha}\right)$ , and (ii)  $T^u$  is strictly increasing in  $p$  for any  $q \in (0, 1)$ . As  $\varepsilon$  goes to zero, the value of  $T^o$  under the pair  $\left(q_\alpha, \frac{\alpha f_\alpha \bar{v}}{1 - \log q_\alpha} + \varepsilon\right)$  goes to  $r_\alpha$ .

We next consider the case in which  $\alpha \leq 1/2$ . The condition  $T^u > r_\alpha$  is satisfied if and only if  $q \in (0, 1)$  and

$$p > \frac{\frac{(\alpha-1)\bar{v}}{\alpha-2} - \frac{r_\alpha}{q}}{\log q} = (1 - f_\alpha)\bar{v} \frac{1 - q}{-q \log q}. \quad (18)$$

The lower bound in (18) decreases in  $q$ , so it is at least  $(1 - f_\alpha)\bar{v}$ . Since  $(1 - f_\alpha)\bar{v} = f_\alpha \bar{v}$  for  $\alpha = 0$ , it follows that there exists no  $(q, p) \in [0, 1] \times [0, f_\alpha \bar{v}]$  such that  $T^u > r_\alpha$ . Hence, for  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $s_\alpha$  equals zero. For  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$ , since  $T^o$  decreases in price  $p$ , the supremum of  $T^o$  is achieved when  $p$  approaches the lower bound in (18). Substituting this lower bound into  $T^o$ , we have:

$$T^o = \frac{\bar{v}((1 - \alpha)(1 - q) + q \log q)}{(2 - \alpha) \log q}, \text{ for } q \in (0, 1).$$

This term is convex in  $q$  and equals zero when  $q = 0$ , so the supremum of  $T^o$  is achieved when  $q$  approaches 1, and is equal to:

$$\frac{\alpha}{2 - \alpha} \bar{v} = \alpha f_\alpha \bar{v}, \text{ for } \alpha \in (0, 1/2].$$

## D An example that illustrates the role of $(-d(q))$

Suppose that  $\bar{P} \equiv 1$  and that  $\underline{P}(z) = 1$  if  $z \leq b$  and  $\underline{P}(z) = 0$  if  $z > b$  for some parameter  $b \in (0, 1)$ . Suppose that  $\alpha = 0$ , so  $f_0 = 1/2$ . Then,  $\underline{d}(q) = q/2$  for  $q \leq b$  and  $\underline{d}(q) = b/2$  for  $q > b$ . There is an optimal policy with  $s$  being zero. Substituting  $s = 0$  and  $\underline{d}(q)$  into the optimal policy (9), we reduce the policy to:

$$\rho(q, p) = \begin{cases} \frac{q}{2}, & \text{if } q \leq b, \\ \frac{b}{2} + \min\left\{p, \frac{1}{2}\right\}(q - b), & \text{if } q > b. \end{cases}$$

According to this policy, for the first  $b$  units the firm produces, its average revenue is  $1/2$ , which is a fraction  $f_0$  of the value to a consumer. For the remaining units, about which the regulator does not know the value to a consumer, the firm gets the market price  $p$  per unit, capped by a fraction  $f_0$  of the highest possible value to a consumer. If the firm chooses  $(q, p) = (1, 0)$ , the total consumer value  $\Theta(1, 0)$  that the firm proves it has created is  $b$ . However, the regulator only gives the firm  $b/2$  instead of  $\min\{f_0\bar{V}(1), \Theta(1, 0)\} = \min\{1/2, b\}$ .